

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/529,257</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> AALTONEN, JANNE</p>
	<p><b>Examiner</b> DANIEL MITCHELL</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 2477</p>

  

**All Participants:**

(1) DANIEL MITCHELL

(2) Christopher Swickhamer (Reg. No. 59,853)

**Date of Interview:** 29 April 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**  
**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
 N/A

**Claims discussed:**  
 25, 29, 30, 33, 37, 38, 40, 45, 46

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
 N/A

**Part II.**  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*An Examiner's Amendment was proposed incorporating the subject matter from dependent claims 29 and 30; 37 and 38; and 45 and 46 into independent claims 25, 33, and 40 respectively. However no agreement was reached based on the Attorney not receiving correspondence from his client.*

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 4:00pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)